



2

tax-evasion by those companies. Both its Assessment Wing and Investigation Wing are fully equipped, capable and mandated to monitor siphoning, tax-evasion and laundering of money and there is no way can fail to notice that if acting bonafide.

10. The peculiarity of situation of Chit Fund companies is evident when contrasted with cases of Sri Moin Qureshi where I.T. Deptt. investigated the laundering of only Rs. 157/- Crores but remained silent in present case being investigated by the CBI.

11. The only difference between the two set of cases is the attitude of Sri P. Chidambaram, Union Finance Minister. While in the cases of Sri Moin Qureshi wanted the I.T. Deptt. desperately to carry out investigation for political considerations, in the case of Chit Fund companies he did not want any investigation to take place.

12. It is not the case that Sri P. Chidambaram did not monitor cases on day to day basis and could not have been expected to have personal knowledge of the cases. It is matter of record that he has monitored cases on the day to day basis and had even made personal calls to private Advocates in private cases to keep him posted of developments, as was done by him in the infamous "Rs. 5,500/- Crore PC-NDTV money-laundering Scam" where he used to personally telephone Sri Jayant K. Mehta, Advocate who was prosecuting private cases before Hon'ble Delhi High Court at his behest and for his benefit through the dummies set up by him in those cases.

13. Ms. Nalini Chidambaram under the I.T. Act, 1961 is required to maintain the "Books of Accounts" and get those Books of Accounts audited by the Chartered Accountant and submit the Report of the Chartered Accountant to the I.T. Deptt. and scrutiny of her Books of Accounts and the "Receipt & Payment Account", the Income & Expenditure Account", the Balance Sheet and the "Cash Book" will provide the information to corroborate or disprove the contention that Ms. Nalini Chidambaram was indeed rendering advices to Clients worth Rs. 1/- Crore in fees or was merely acting as conduit to receive the bribe and illegal gratification for and on behalf of Sri P. Chidambaram, Union Finance Minister in the cases of the Chit Fund companies.

14. Perhaps, Sri P. Chidambaram may claim that bribe of Rs. 50/- Crores is too small an amount for a person of his caliber and capacity to be corrupt, but then Sri P. Chidambaram is known to have robust regard to his "turn-over" and has paid the same attention to every transaction as that ultimately adds up to the "turn-over".

15. I will, therefore, suggest and request that the CBI may like to investigate the payment of bribe of about Rs. 50/- Crores to Sri P. Chidambaram, Union Finance Minister by those Chit Fund companies through the conduit of his wife Ms. Nalini Chidambaram in lieu of investigation and inquiries by the I.T. Deptt. blocked by Sri P. Chidambaram, Union Finance Minister in the cases of Chit Fund companies and am willing/available to render any assistance to the CBI, as may be necessary/needed.

RamNiranjan @ramniranjan187 · 14h

Replying to @Satyanewshi @Swamy39

Ex Finance Minister @PChidambaram_IN 's Wife #NaliniChidambaram Received a Bribe of Rs.50 Crores ??,

Not Rs.1Crore In #SaradhaChitFund Scam:

Says: IT Commissioner to #CBI



5

